

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Was it not mandatory for the Government to have revised the rates of minimum wages on 27th March 1957 in the old Mysore area, on 25th March 1957 in Hyderabad area and in March 1957 in Madras area in respect of several industries?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—There was the provision in the statute but the work could not be finished. In the meanwhile there was also an amending Bill in the Central Government and that Bill has now been passed and steps are being taken to fix the minimum wages as early as possible.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is it being suggested that the amending legislation prescribes that it need not be revised within the ambit of five years?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—There is no such suggestion and if the Hon'ble member thinks so it is wrong.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—So far as wages are concerned, are the rates prescribed uniform?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—So far as the rates are concerned, I am not just now in a position to say whether they are uniform or not. If the Hon'ble member wants it, I shall gather the information.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I had asked for the rates. So far as rules are concerned, I would like to know the position.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—With regard to rules also, I must say and confess that I do not have the information ready. With regard to rates I do not know whether it was found in the original question that was sent by him. But in the manner it has been admitted, it is not there.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—With reference to supplementary (d), is there any proposal to constitute an Advisory Board as required by the Act?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The question of constituting an Advisory Committee and Advisory Board is under the consideration of the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಪಾರ್ಥಸಾರಥಿ.—ಊದ ಬತ್ತಿ ಕೆಂಪ ಗಾರರಿಗೆ Minimum Wages Act ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—That which is not in the schedule, has not been fixed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question 378. The Hon'ble Minister is not present. The question will be taken up tomorrow.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—On a point of order. If the Minister is not well, the question can be taken up afterwards. May I know whether he is in headquarters or not?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He has written to me saying that it would not be possible for him to attend the House this day. So, the question may be postponed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question 442 will be taken up later on as the replies received are not complete.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲು ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನಾನು ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು 25-5-1957 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳಾಗಿ ಇಷ್ಟು ದೀರ್ಘ ಕಾಲಾನಂತರ ಇಂದು ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆದ ಕಾರಣ ನಾನು ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವದಿಲ್ಲ. I do not want to waste the time of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Very well, thank you. Next question.

Abolition of the Posts of Patels and Shanbhogues in the State.

Q.—796. Sri K. L. NARASIMHAIAH (Hebbur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) (i) the number of Patels and Shanbhogues in the State;

(ii) the cost of remuneration paid to them;

(b) the number of times the committee appointed for considering the abolition of hereditary system met;

(c) the expenditure incurred in this behalf?

A.—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) *Vide* statement appended.

(b) 1. Government appointed a Committee to consider, examine and report on the abolition of the system of hereditary Shanbhogues in Mysore on

14th February 1930 under the Chairmanship of *Rajakaryapravina* Sri P. G. D'Souza, the then Revenue Commissioner. The committee met twice and their report was published on 11th May 1931.

2. Sri Gundappa Gowda Committee appointed in the year 1948 to

examine and report on the Land Revenue System in Mysore have also examined this question of hereditary officers at some length. This committee met 9 times and their report was published in 1950.

(c) This information is not available now.

STATEMENT

District	Patels	Remuneration	Shanbhogues/ Talathies Kulkarnies/ Patwaries	Remuneration
		Rs.		Rs.
BANGALORE DIVISION				
1 Bangalore ...	1,930	90,315·75	1,047	1,23,772·25
2 Kolar ...	2,425	1,03,339·19	1,323	1,40,834·56
3 Tumkur ...	2,560	96,585·75	918	1,31,183·31
4 Chitaldrug ...	1,397	57,807·88	414	78,253·88
5 Bellary ...	472	10,174·25	398	9,636·75
MYSORE DIVISION				
6 Mysore ...	1,508	88,514·00	697	1,13,126·00
7 Mandya ...	1,441	87,461·00	500	1,19,517·00
8 Hassan ...	2,474	1,14,941·00	587	1,59,540·00
9 Chickmagalur* ...	981	60,271·77	307	83,734·00
10 Shimoga ...	1,682	90,237·00	600	1,19,271·81
11 South Kanara ...	685	11,700·00	294	6,762·00
12 Coorg ...	275	31,100·00	25	41,000·00
BELGAUM DIVISION				
13 Bijapur ...	1,429	98,034·81	311	2,900,50·23
14 Kanara ...	983	63,776·24	287	2,06,531·52
15 Dharwar ...	1,744	1,49,145·64	427	3,87,385·59
16 Belgaum ...	1,402	1,34,229·00	311	1,43,924·00
GULBARGA DIVISION				
17 Gulbarga ...	2,722	73,292·00	1,102	55,542·00
18 Raichur ...	2,464	1,51,024·09	1,064	1,30,656·08
19 Bidar ...	1,218	84,605·75	594	83,968·00
Total...				

*In case of Chickmagalur the figures of remuneration includes 50 per cent of potagi enhanced by Government on 8th June 1957, with effect from the year 1956-57.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ (c) ದಲ್ಲಿ This information is not available now ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ಈ ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಸಮಿತಿಗಳ ಬರ್ಚಿನ ವಿವರ ಬಹಳ ಹಳೆಯದಾಗಿದೆ. 1930ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಬರ್ಚಿನ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಈಗ ಸಿಗುವುದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಗುಂಡಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡ ಸಮಿತಿಯು ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಇದ್ದುದು. ಅದಾದ ರಿಂದ ಈ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಬರ್ಚಿನ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಸಿಗಬಹುದಲ್ಲವೇ ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is not available with me now.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಸಿಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ; That is not available ಎಂದರೆ ನಮಗೆ ಏನೂ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಇದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುಂಡಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡ ಕಮಿಷನು ಬರ್ಚಿನ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಸಿಗಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The question relates mainly to the Committee appointed in 1930 which is 28 years old. It is therefore very difficult to get the figures for that committee. If the Hon'ble member wants information in respect of Gundappa Gowda Committee, I shall make enquiry and if available I shall furnish the information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ಪಚೇಲಿ ಶಾಸನ ಛೋಗರ hereditary system ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕ ಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದು ಏನು ಆಯಿತು ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—Hereditary Offices ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನಾಯಿತು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is still under the consideration of the Government.

2. P. M.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is this the amount which relates to the expenditure of this Committee to be met from Government funds ?

(Interruption)

What is the meaning of saying that accounts are not available. The Committee was appointed in 1930. They would have been paid and the expenditure incurred. To what Budget head has it been debited.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ನಾನೇನು ಮಾಡಲಿ ?

So far as Gundappa Gowda Committee accounts are concerned the Government have promised that they will try to get the information.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The information is not available ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಸಮಿತಿ ವಿಚಾರ ದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುವುದು ಹಾಸ್ಯಾಸ್ಪದವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದೊಂದೇ ಸಲವಲ್ಲ, ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಸಾರಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. Why is it that information is not available ? How is it that this information is not available even after 27 years of that committee functioning ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—My information is that the information is not available.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Do Government propose to find out what exactly is the amount of expenditure incurred ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಒಂದು ಸಮಿತಿಯದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಸಮಿತಿ ವಿಚಾರ ನೋಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಆಗರೇ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—He must be knowing much better.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ತೋಟ ತಳವಾರರ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುವಂಶಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸ ಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಅಥವಾ ರದ್ದು ಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ತಿಂಗಳ ಆಪ್ಟೋರಿಗೆ ಈ ನಂಬಂಧವಾದ ವರದಿ ಬರುವುದಾಗಿದೆ. ಬಂದ ನಂತರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಸಲ ಇದೇ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—This question does not relate to Thotis and Thalaris. It relates to only Shanbhogues and patels.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It relates to Thotis and thalaris also.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Could Government tell me whether accounts in regard to expenditure incurred in respect of these committees have been maintained by Government ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That information is not with me. If the Hon'ble Member wants that information, I shall collect the same.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—My question is very simple Sir—whether accounts are maintained or not.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದರಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Do Government feel doubtful about the maintenance of accounts itself ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Accounts are generally maintained. Whether the accounts of 1940—whether this particular information is available or not, as far as my information goes, that information is not available with me.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I want to know whether Government feels doubtful of the maintenance of the accounts of these committees. Sir, I do not expect any answer to this question from the Hon'ble Speaker.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳುವುದು ತಪ್ಪು. ಸಚಿವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಉತ್ತರ ತಮಗೆ ಕೇಳಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನಾನು ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದೆ, ಅಷ್ಟೆ; ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿಗೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Sir, my question remains unanswered. ಸಮಿತಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉಂಟಾದ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ನರ್ಕಾರ ಇಚ್ಛಿರುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲ ಸಂಶಯವಿದೆಯೇ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ನನಗೆ ದೊರೆತಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I would like to know what is the difficulty in calling upon the Government machinery to furnish answers to this question in time?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I explained just now that I shall make enquiries. Whatever information is available, I will furnish the same to the House. Regarding the committee of 1930 that information is not available. If it is available, I will supply the same.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಎರಡು ಸಮಿತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಖರ್ಚು ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು ಕಳುವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ, ನನ್ನಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿರಬಹುದು.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Were any Government Officers and Officials associated with these committees for work? Were they the people responsible for the maintenance of accounts?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆಗ ನೀವೇ ಇದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮುರಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ನಾವಿದ್ದರೆ ಈ ಅವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶವೇ ಇರುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I want notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know what efforts have the Government made to find out the expenditure incurred for these committees?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The usual procedure is followed. Information is called for and whatever information is available, that is supplied.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Government was pleased to state that accounts with respect to the second committee were available. What was the special difficulty for Government to furnish that information to the Assembly even though, it is ten months since the question has been put?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I am not in a position to give the expenditure of all the committees and I have not got the information, may be because there might be reference to the Accountant General, or there might be references to other officers.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—We are not here to take answers which have been furnished by the departmental Secretaries. We want answers from the Government. It is between the Government and the officers to find out the information. Now I ask, what is the difficulty for Government to furnish a simple answer to the question asked for relating to the year 1948. Is not ten months a sufficient period to find out the information and give it?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I explained just now that it is not possible to give all these details because they are not in the file. Supposing the Hon'ble Member wants to know why there is so much delay; that is a different question. If that question is put, I shall have to make an enquiry as to which department it was sent and call for the information and supply it.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I take it that the Government have not made any efforts at all to furnish information?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That does not mean that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಲ್. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ಡಿಸೌಜ ಕಮಿಟಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಮತ್ತು ಗುಂಡಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡ ಕಮಿಟಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್, ಈ ಎರಡರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿದೆಯೇ ಅಥವಾ ಎರಡೂ ತಾಳೆ ಹೊಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is a matter of detail. How can I give all the details for these Reports?